

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/03/2005

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/734,205 | 12/12/2000 | Kaoru Okuno | 50212-174 | 1983 |
| 20277 | 7590 08/03/2005 | | EXAM | INER |
| MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP | | | HOFFMANN, JOHN M | |
| 600 13TH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | , = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = | | 1731 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|---|
| · | 09/734,205 | OKUNO ET AL. |
| Notice of Abandonment | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | John Hoffmann | 1731 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | ppears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address |
| This application is abandoned in view of: | | |
| Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of) | f Mailing or Transmission date of month(s)) which expi | d), which is after the expiration of the red on |
| (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it doe | es not constitute a proper reply | under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final reject application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fil Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3 | ed Notice of Appeal (with appe | • |
| (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constinal rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (Se | | fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non- |
| (d) No reply has been received. | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee a from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL | | e, within the statutory period of three months |
| (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, w), which is after the expiration of the statutory Allowance (PTOL-85). | | |
| (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balar | nce of \$ is due. | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | . The publication fee, if require | ed by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ |
| (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has | not been received. | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as re Allowability (PTO-37). | equired by, and within the three | -month period set in, the Notice of |
| (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. | (with a Certificate of Mailing | g or Transmission dated), which is |
| (b) \(\subseteq \text{No corrected drawings have been received.} \) | | • |
| The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the applicants. | the attorney or agent of record | , the assignee of the entire interest, or all of |
| 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | an attorney or agent (acting in | a representative capacity under 37 CFR |
| The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interf of the decision has expired and there are no allowed cla | | d because the period for seeking court review |
| 7. The reason(s) below: | | John Hoffmann Primary Examiner |
| Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to without | draw the holding of abandonment | Art Unit: 1731 under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to |